

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** 10/659,297 09/11/2003 Nobumasa Suzuki P24194 3563 **EXAMINER** 7055 7590 10/13/2005 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. PHILOGENE, PEDRO 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER RESTON, VA 20191 3733

**DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,297	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pedro Philogene	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	August 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4,6-8 and 10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,7,8 and 10 is/are v.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contract	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	. —	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	• •
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date:		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/659,297

Art Unit: 3732

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 8/1/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would have been appropriate to classify each of the pending claims in either class 606/61 or 403/52, furthermore, the examiner would not be required to examine a large amount of claims were he to examine all of the pending claims. This is not found persuasive because as stated in the last Office Action both sets of claims are classified in two different classes; and the fact that the number of claims is minimal, it is not a requirement for classification. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brace et al. (6,187,005).

With respect to claim 1, Brace et al disclose a rod connector comprising a connector main body (10,58,72,84,90,110) swingably attached to a shank (18), the connector main body comprising a recess (40) configured to engage part of a spherical end portion (22) of the shank, part of the spherical end portion extending outside of the recess in a direction towards the shank; as best seen in FIGS.1, 6-9, 15. a rod supporting portion (12,48,54,60,74,86) provided in the connector main body and

configured for supporting a rod (16); as best seen in the FIGURES; and a pressure fixing device (30,80,110) for pressure fixing the rod to the rod supporting portion of the connector main body; as best seen in the FIGS.

With respect to claims 3,4, Brace et al disclose all the limitations; as set forth in column 3, lines 25-53, column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-25; and, as best seen in FIGS.1-15.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace et al (6,187,005) in view of Fujita et al. (5,743,669).

With respect to claim 6, it is noted that Brace et al did not teach of a flange at the rear end of the shank; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Fujita et al evidence the use of a flange at the end of a shank to permit a crimping or non-removing operation to be performed by an engaging member.

Therefore, given the teaching of Fujita et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shank of Brace et al., as taught by Fujita et al to permit a crimping or non-removing operation to be performed by an engaging member.

## Response to Amendment

Application/Control Number: 10/659,297

Art Unit: 3732

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3, 4, 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene October 7, 2005

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY FXAMINER